

1 granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such
2 relief." Id. § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed, however.
3 Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

4 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two
5 elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States
6 was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting
7 under the color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

8 B. Legal Claims

9 Deliberate indifference to serious medical needs violates the Eighth
10 Amendment's proscription against cruel and unusual punishment. See Estelle v.
11 Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 104 (1976). But in order to state a cognizable claim for
12 damages under § 1983, plaintiff must set forth specific allegations showing how
13 each defendant's actions and/or omissions were the "actual and proximate cause"
14 of the deprivation of which plaintiff complains. See Leer v. Murphy, 844 F.2d
15 628, 634 (9th Cir. 1988). Plaintiff has not done so.

16 Plaintiff may have a cognizable claim for damages under § 1983 against
17 the individual Doe defendants. But in order to proceed, plaintiff must identify
18 the Doe defendants, and amend his complaint to name them and set forth specific
19 facts as to how each individual defendant violated his rights. See Wakefield v.
20 Thompson, 177 F.3d 1160, 1163 (9th Cir. 1999). Plaintiff will be afforded 30
21 days from the date of this order to identify the unknown Doe defendants and
22 amend his complaint.

23 **CONCLUSION**

24 For the foregoing reasons, the complaint is dismissed with leave to amend,
25 as indicated above, within 30 days of this order. The pleading must be simple
26 and concise and must include the caption and civil case number used in this order
27

1 and the words FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT on the first page. Failure to
2 file a proper amended complaint within the designated time will result in the
3 dismissal of this action.

4 Plaintiff is advised that the amended complaint will supersede the original
5 complaint and all other pleadings. Claims and defendants not included in the
6 amended complaint will not be considered by the court. See King v. Atiyeh, 814
7 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987).

8 SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: March 14, 2008

10 
11 CHARLES R. BREYER
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEFFERY EUGENE WALKER,
Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV08-00801 CRB

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

JOHN DOE et al,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on March 14, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Jeffery E. Walker F-11343
CMF
P.O. Box 2000
Vacaville, CA 95696-2000

Dated: March 14, 2008

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Barbara Espinoza, Deputy Clerk